

**DETAILED ACTION**  
***Response to Amendment***

The Examiner stated that a complete listing of the claims were not present, that the claims did not include text of all pending claims and that previous claim amendments were not canceled. Applicant has corrected these issues with the current claims.

***Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103***

The Examiner previously stated that claims 1-12, 14, 16, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Screen Dumps of Windows Media Player 9 used on Windows XP (“Windows”) in view of Ludwig et al. (US 7185054).

The Examiner previously stated that claims 17-20, 22-24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodgers et al. (“Rodgers”, US PG PUB # 2002/0026478 A1) in view of Ludwig et al. (US 7185054).

Although Applicant does not believe that Windows, Ludwig, and Rogers, alone or in combination, teach or suggest the limitations of independent claims 1, 17, and 22 as previously described in the prior submitted Office Action responses, purely in the interest of expediting the prosecution of the instant application, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 17, and 22 to include one or more of the following limitations:

- a local user display region;
- a remote user display region;
- a taskbar region within the display region;
- a desktop region;

a toolbar region, wherein the toolbar region includes the entire display region besides the taskbar region;

a remote user display region;

the desktop region within the local user display region; and

one or more control areas, displayed within the taskbar region, used to control the display region;

wherein a local user is able to maximize the taskbar region and use most of the local user display region on tasks related to session control and document previews that are not visible or accessible to a remote user; and

wherein the remote user is able to view contents of a remaining portion of the local user display region.

Per these limitations, whose support can be found at least in paragraph 38, and in one embodiment, each user benefits from having the majority of their display utilized for the task of most interest. This provides, for example, larger display areas, improved communication, and reduces fatigue and eye strain.

Applicant does not believe that the following limitations are taught or suggested by Windows, Ludwig, and Rogers:

1. A local user is able to maximize the taskbar region;
2. The local user is able to use most of the local user display region on tasks related to session control and document previews that are not visible or accessible to a remote user;
3. The remote user is able to use most of the remote user viewer display to view contents of a remaining portion of the local user display region; and

4. The resulting effect of the local user and the remote user each benefitting from having the majority of their display utilized for the task of most interest thus providing larger display areas, improved communication, and reduced fatigue and eye strain.

As such, Applicant respectfully believes that these claims, as well as the claims that depend from them, are in condition for allowance and respectfully request they be passed to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

WEST CORPORATION

Date: 2/8/2010

By: /Raffi Gostanian/  
Raffi Gostanian  
Reg. No. 42,595  
Tel: (972) 849-1310